

Main goals :

1. Determine whether a quadratic form over  $\mathbb{Q}$  has a nontrivial zero.
2. Do the classification of quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  
( Determine whether two quadratic forms are equivalent )

In general , it is very hard to determine whether an equation over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of more than two variables has a solution , but it is much easier to do that in finite fields. Actually, you only have to test all the cases .

Our process of this problem begin with  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then the  $p$ -adic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and then  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Main results :

1. A quadratic form over  $\mathbb{Q}$  has a nontrivial zero if and only if it has a nontrivial zero in each  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ -adic field  $\mathbb{Q}_l$  (  $p$ -adic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  ).
2. One can use Hilbert symbol to determine whether a quadratic form over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  has a non-trivial zero .
3. Two quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are equivalent if and only if they are equivalent over all  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ .

4. One can use the invariants rank, discriminant and another invariant  $\epsilon$  to determine whether two quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  are equivalent.

Part 1. Basic results and definition of quadratic forms.

Definition 1 - Let  $V$  be a module over a commutative ring  $A$ .

(Bourbaki, Alg. chap. IX, §3, n°4)  
A function  $Q: V \rightarrow A$  is called a quadratic form on  $V$  if:

1)  $Q(ax) = a^2 Q(x)$  for  $a \in A$  and  $x \in V$

2) The function  $(x, y) \mapsto Q(x+y) - Q(x) - Q(y)$  is a bilinear

form. ~~Sketch~~

Such a pair  $(V, Q)$  is called a quadratic module.

In this note, we only consider when  $A$  is a field  $k$  with characteristic  $\neq 2$  and  $V$  is a vector field over  $k$  of finite dimension.

Let  $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be a basis of  $V$ . Then we can express  $Q$

in a concrete way:

There exists a <sup>symmetric</sup>  $V$ -matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  with respect to the basis  $(e_i)$ . If  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$ , then  $Q(x) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} a_{ij} x_i x_j$ .

Furthermore, we can choose a basis such that  $A$  is diagonal, then  $Q(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{ii} x_i^2$ , which is more convenient to discuss its zeros.

We call  $\det(A)$  (with is determined by  $Q$  if we ignore the multiplication of an element of  $k^{\times 2}$ ) the discriminant of  $Q$  and denote it by  $\text{disc}(Q)$ .

We say  $Q$  is nondegenerate if for any  $x \neq 0 \in V$ , there exists  $y \in V$  s.t.  $\frac{1}{2} [Q(x+y) - Q(x) - Q(y)] \neq 0$  (We can define  $x \cdot y = \frac{1}{2} [Q(x+y) - Q(x) - Q(y)]$ ).

It is easy to see that  $Q$  is nondegenerate if and only if  $\text{disc}(Q) \neq 0$ .

Definition 2. Let  $(V, Q)$  and  $(V', Q')$  be two quadratic modules over  $k$ . Let  $s: V \rightarrow V'$  be a linear map of  $k$ -vector spaces. We say  $s$  is a metric morphism if ~~and~~ ~~only~~ for any  $x \in V$ ,  $Q(x) = Q'(s(x))$ .

(If a metric morphism  $s$  is an isomorphism between vector spaces, then it is a metric isomorphism.)

Then we state the famous thm of Witt without proof.

If  $(V, Q)$  and  $(V', Q')$  are isomorphic and non-degenerate, then every injective metric morphism

$$s: U \rightarrow V'$$

of a subspace  $U$  of  $V$  can be extended to a metric isomorphism of  $V$  onto  $V'$ .

Remark: We can state Witt's thm in a concrete way: when  $u$  is nondegenerate. First, let us make some notions.

Let  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} x_i x_j$  be a quadratic form in  $n$  variables over  $k$  (we put  $a_{ji} = a_{ij}$  if  $i > j$ ). The pair  $(k^n, f)$  is a quadratic module, associated to  $f$ .

Two quadratic forms  $f$  and  $f'$  are called equivalent if the corresponding modules are isomorphic.

Let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $g(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  be two quadratic forms; we will denote  $f+g$  the quadratic form  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) + g(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m})$  in  $n+m$  variables.

Then Witt's thm indicates:

Let  $f = g \dot{+} h$  and  $f' = g' \dot{+} h'$  be two nondegenerate quadratic forms. If  $f \sim f'$  and  $g \sim g'$ , then  $h \sim h'$  ( $\sim$  means equivalent).

## Part 2 Equations over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $\mathbb{Q}_p$

2.1 Theorem 1. (Chevalley - Warning)

$K$  is a finite field.

- Let  $f_a \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be polynomials in  $n$  variables such that  $\sum_a \deg f_a < n$ , and let  $V$  be the set of their common zeros in  $K^n$ . One has  $\text{Card}(V) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

Proof see A course in arithmetic Chap 1 2.2

Cor. All quadratic forms in at least 3 variables over a finite field have a nontrivial zero.

2.2 A melioration of approximate solutions

Assume  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  (or  $f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ ) and we have already find a solution of  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  or  $\pmod{p^n}$ .

There is an elementary way to try lifting it to a solution in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let's first see an easy example:

Try to solve  $x^2 + 1 = 0$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_5$

look at  $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ .

There is a solution  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ .

Assume  $x = 5y + 2 \pmod{5^2}$ .

Then  $(5y + 2)^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{25}$ ,

$$\Rightarrow 20y \equiv 20 \pmod{25} \Rightarrow y \equiv 1 \pmod{5}.$$

And then assume  $x = 25y + 5 + 2 = 25y + 7$

Then  $(25y + 7)^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{125} \Rightarrow 2 \times 7 \times 25y + 50 \equiv 0 \pmod{125}$

$$\Rightarrow y \equiv 2 \pmod{5}.$$

And then assume  $x = 125y + 25 \times 2 + 5 + 2$

.....

Do this over and over, where we have  $x = 2 + 5 + 2 \times 25 + \dots$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$

is a solution lifted from  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ .

However, this sometimes fails. For example,  $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  <sup>a solution of</sup> can not even be lifted to a solution of  $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

But just by some easy attempts, we can get the theorem below:

~~Theorem~~ <sup>Lemma</sup> - Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ ,  $x = (x_i) \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^m$ ,  $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n_j$

an integer such that  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . Suppose that  $0 < 2k < n$  and that

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n} \text{ and } v_p \left( \frac{df}{dx_j}(x) \right) = k, \text{ then for the eq } f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

Then, there exists a <sup>solution</sup> ~~zero~~  $y$  of  $f$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^m$  which is congruent to  $x$  module  $p^{n-k}$ . [Proof see A course in arithmetic Chap 2 2.2 ~~thm 1~~]. <sup>(lemma)</sup>

Note that in the thm above,  $\left( \frac{df}{dx_j}(y) \right) \equiv \left( \frac{df}{dx_j}(x) \right) \pmod{p^{n-k}}$ .

So applying the lemma over and over again, we conclude the thm:

**Thm 1:** Let  $f$  and  $x$  be mentioned in the lemma, then there exists a zero  $y$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^m$  which is congruent to  $x$  module  $p^{n-k}$ .

For quadratic forms, there are some corollaries:

Case 1 - Suppose  $p \neq 2$ . Let  $f(x) = \sum a_{ij} x_i x_j$  with  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  be a quadratic form with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  whose discriminant  $\det(a_{ij})$  is invertible. Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Every primitive solution of the equation  $f(x) \equiv a \pmod{p}$  lifts to a true solution. (Here primitive means not all congruent to 0 mod  $p$ ).

Case 2 - Suppose  $p=2$ . Let  $f = \sum a_{ij} x_i x_j$  with  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  be a quadratic form with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Let  $x$  be a primitive solution of  $f(x) \equiv a \pmod{8}$ . We can lift  $x$  to a true solution provided  $x$  does not annihilate all the  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$  module 4 (which is satisfied when  $\det(a_{ij}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ).

Part 3. Quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$

For convenience we consider  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X^i$ ,  $a_i \neq 0$ .

1) When a quadratic form over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  has a nontrivial zero.

If  $n=2$   $f(x) = a_1 x^2 + a_2 x^2$  has a nontrivial zero if and only if  $-\frac{a_1}{a_2}$  is a quadratic residue module  $p$ , which means  $\begin{cases} \left(-\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } p > 2 \\ a_1 = -a_2 \pmod{p} & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$

If  $n > 2$ . By thm 1 in Part 2,  $f$  must have a nontrivial zero.

2) When a quadratic form over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  represents  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ .

In fact, if  $n \geq 2$ , for any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ ,

$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^2$  ( $a_i \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ) always represents  $n$ .

pf: Consider  $a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 - a x_3^2$ .

If  $a = 0$ , then  $f(0) = 0$ . If  $a \neq 0$ , then by thm 1 in part 2, it must have a nontrivial zero  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$

If  $(-\frac{a_2}{a_1}) \notin \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$ , then  $x_3 \neq 0$ , so  $a_1 (\frac{x_1}{x_3})^2 + a_2 (\frac{x_2}{x_3})^2 = a$ .

If  $(-\frac{a_2}{a_1}) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$ , assume  $b^2 = -\frac{a_2}{a_1}$ , then

$$x_1^2 + \frac{a_2}{a_1} x_2^2 = (x_1 - bx_2)(x_1 + bx_2), \text{ easy discussion}$$

shows it represents all elements in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Rmk: In fact if  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ , then  $x_1^2 - x_2^2$  always represents all elements in  $k$

3) How to classify quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

As mentioned before, <sup>two equivalent</sup> quadratic forms have <sup>same</sup> two invariants rank and discriminant (in  $k^*/(k^*)^2$ ) ~~of equivalence~~. (We only need to discuss the case that the form is nondegenerate).

In fact, for quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , these two invariants determine the equivalent class uniquely.

This is because every quadratic form is equivalent to a form of the form  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2 + a x_n^2$ .

To see it, note that in 2) we proved if  $f$  is of rank  $n \geq 2$ , then  $f$  represents. Then there exists

$v \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  s.t.  $f(v) = 1$ . Assume  $\mathbb{F}_p^n = \langle v \rangle \oplus \langle v \rangle^\perp$  (This is a direct sum because  $\langle v \rangle$  is a nondegenerate subspace)

Then there exists a quadratic form  $g$  of rank  $n-1$  s.t.  $f = x^2 + g$ .

4) How to determine whether a quadratic form over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  has a nontrivial zero.

This is much harder than that over  $\mathbb{R}$ . The answer is when  $n \geq 5$ , always, when  $n \leq 4$ , ~~there are~~ the result is quite a bit complicated.

First we have to introduce the Hilbert symbol.

Definition 1.

Let  $a, b \in k^*$ . We put:

$(a, b) = 1$  if  $z^2 - ax^2 - by^2 = 0$  has a solution  $(x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0)$  in  $k^3$ .

$(a, b) = -1$  otherwise.

Notations:  $\varepsilon(x) = \frac{x-1}{2}$ ,  $w(x) = \frac{x^2-1}{8}$  (Here  $x$  is a prime or  $\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  a unit in  $\mathbb{Q}_2$ )

~~Theorem~~ Theorem 1

If  $k = \mathbb{R}$ , ~~we~~ we have  $(a, b) = 1$  if  $a$  or  $b$  is  $> 0$ , and  $(a, b) = -1$  if  $a$  and  $b$  are  $< 0$ .

If  $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$  and if we write  $a, b$  in the form  $p^\alpha u$ ,  $p^\beta v$  where  $u$  and  $v$  belong to the group  $U$  of  $p$ -adic units, ~~then~~ we have

$$(a, b) = (-1)^{\alpha\beta\varepsilon(p)} \left(\frac{u}{p}\right)^\beta \left(\frac{v}{p}\right)^\alpha \text{ if } p \neq 2$$

$$(a, b) = (-1)^{\varepsilon(u)\varepsilon(v) + \alpha w(v) + \beta w(u)} \text{ if } p = 2.$$

Remark: From the result of this theorem, we know that the Hilbert symbol is bilinear (Here we regard multiplication of  $k^*/k^{*2}$  as addition so it is bilinear).

However the elementary proof requires quite a lot of computation. If one wants to try it, one can try in this way:



First notice the two results (in A course in arithmetic, chap 3 1.)  
prop 1 and 2).

① - Let  $a, b \in k^*$  and let  $k_b = k(\sqrt{b})$ . For  $(a, b) = 1$  it is necessary and sufficient that  $a$  belongs to the group  $N_{k_b/k}$  of norms of elements of  $k_b^*$

② if  $(a, b) = (b, a)$  and  $(a, c^2) = 1$ .

ii)  $(a, -a) = 1$  and  $(a, 1-a) = 1$

iii)  $(a, b) = 1 \Rightarrow (a, a', b) = (a', b)$

(iii)  $(a, b) = (a, -ab) = (a, (1-a)b)$ .

Also note that we only need to consider the case  $d, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$ . And for  $d = \beta = 1$ , by (iii) in prop ①,

$$(pu, pv) = (pu, -p^2uv) = (pu, -uv).$$

Then we can try to discuss all situations.

Now go back to quadratic forms

First we introduce another invariant  $\epsilon$ .

$$\text{For } f = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k X_k^2, \quad \epsilon(f) = \prod_{i < j} (a_i, a_j).$$

It can be shown that  $\epsilon$  is invariant with ~~the~~ change of basis of the quadratic module. Witt's lemma might be useful here.

Thm 2 - For  $f$  to represent 0 it is necessary and sufficient that:

i)  $n=2$  and  $d = -1$  (in  $k^*/k^{*2}$ )

ii)  $n=3$  and  $(-1, -d) = \epsilon$ .

iii)  $n=4$  and either  $d \neq 1$  or  $d=1$  and  $\epsilon = (-1, -1)$ .

iv)  $n \geq 5$

$$\text{where } f = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k X_k^2, \quad d = d(f), \quad \epsilon = \epsilon(f).$$

Corollary . Let  $a \in k^*/k^{*2}$  . In order that  $f$  represent  $a$  it is necessary and sufficient that :

- i)  $n=1$  and  $a=d$  ,
- ii)  $n=2$  and  $(a, -d) = \varepsilon$
- iii)  $n=3$  and either  $a \neq -d$  or  $a = -d$  and  $(-1, -d) = \varepsilon$
- iv)  $n \geq 4$  .

Thm 3 (Classification) Two quadratic forms over  $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$  are equivalent if and only if they have the same rank , discriminant and invariant  $\varepsilon$  .

Pf: " $\Leftarrow$ " is trivial.

" $\Rightarrow$ " Assume  $f$  and  $g$  have same invariants  $d, \varepsilon, n$  then by previous theorem and corollary,  $f$  and  $g$  represents same elements of  $k$  .

Assume  $f$  represents  $a \in k^*$  .

Then there exists  $f_1, g_1$  of rank  $n-1$  s.t.

$$f = f_1 + a x^2, \quad g = g_1 + a x^2 .$$

$$\text{Then } d(f_1) = d(g_1) = \frac{d}{a}, \quad \varepsilon(f_1) = \varepsilon(g_1) = \frac{\varepsilon}{(a, \frac{d}{a})} .$$

So by induction on  $n$   $f_1 \sim g_1$  , and  $f \sim g$  .

#### Part 4. Quadratic forms over $\mathbb{Q}$ .

First we need to introduce some global properties of the Hilbert symbol.

Let  $V$  denotes the set of all primes in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\infty$

Theorem 1 (product formula). If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ ,

we have  $(a, b)_v = 1$  for almost all  $v \in V$  and

$$\prod_{v \in V} (a, b)_v = 1.$$

Theorem 2 Let  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  be a finite family of elements in  $\mathbb{Q}^*$

and let  $(\epsilon_{i,v})_{i \in I, v \in V}$  be a family of numbers equal to  $\pm 1$ .

In order that there exists  $x \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  such that  $(a_i, x)_v = \epsilon_{i,v}$

for all  $i \in I, v \in V$ , it is necessary and sufficient

that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) Almost all  $\epsilon_{i,v}$  are equal to 1.

(2) For all  $i \in I$  we have  $\prod_{v \in V} \epsilon_{i,v} = 1$

(3) For all  $v \in V$  there exists  $x_v \in \mathbb{Q}_v^*$  such that  $(a_i, x_v)_v = \epsilon_{i,v}$  for all  $i \in I$ .

Remark. Theorem 2 can be viewed as the reverse of

theorem 1, (1) (2) ~~are~~ just come from the result of theorem 2 and (3) is just natural.

It requires some preparations to proof thm 2.

Lemma 1. Chinese remainder theorem.

The topology structure on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The topology structure on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  can be defined by 2 ways which are equivalent.

One comes from  $p$ -adic metric/norm on  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Assume  $r = p^a \frac{u}{v}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p \nmid uv$  is an element of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Then the norm  $|r|$  is defined to be  $p^{-a}$ .

And for  $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $d(r, s) = |r - s|$ .

Then  $d$  is a well-defined metric and introduces a topology on  $\mathbb{Q}$  (or  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

Then  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  are defined to be the completion of metric spaces  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

The other comes from filtration.

Here  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is defined to be the direct limit of the system

$$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \dots$$

Then  $p\mathbb{Z}_p$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and

there is a filtration  $\mathbb{Z}_p \supseteq (p)\mathbb{Z}_p \supseteq (p)^2\mathbb{Z}_p \supseteq \dots$

A topology ~~structure~~ can be defined by this filtration by

taking  $\mathcal{B}$  a topology base to be  $\{x + (p)^n \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_p, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

Similarly things work for  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

In fact,  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +)$  is a topological group and  $(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times, \cdot)$  is also a

topological group. ~~In other words~~ Moreover  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a topological field.

Lemma 2 ("Approximation theorem") - Let  $S$  be a finite subset

of  $V$ . Then image of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{Q}_v$  is dense in this product (product topology of those of  $\mathbb{Q}_v$ )

Pf: We can suppose  $S = \{\infty, p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ .

Assume  $(x_\infty, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Q}_{p_n}$ .

Note that  $\mathbb{Q}_v$  is a topological ~~group~~ <sup>field</sup> for each  $v$ .

So if  $\{r_n\}$  converges to  $x_i$ ,

$\{ar_n\}$  converges to  $ax_i$  for any integer  $i$ .

Therefore without loss of generality we can assume  $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$  by multiplying an integer.

By CRT there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $v_{p_i}(x_0 - x_i) \geq N$  for all  $i$ .

Choose an integer  $q \geq 2$  which is prime to all  $p_i$ .

Then rational numbers of the form  $a/q^m$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \geq 0$  are dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Choose ~~such~~ a number  $u = a/q^m$  with

$$|x_\infty - x_\infty + u p_1^N \dots p_n^N| \leq \epsilon$$

Then let  $x = x_0 + u p_1^N \dots p_n^N$ ,  $|x - x_\infty| < \epsilon$

and  $|x - x_{p_i}|_{p_i} \leq \frac{1}{p_i^N}$  for each  $i$ .

So the image of  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $(x_\infty, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  can be ~~as~~ <sup>as</sup> close as possible, which proves the image of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense.

... infinitely many primes ...

infinitely many primes  $p \equiv a \pmod{m}$  for any  $a, m$  s.t.  $(a, m) = 1$ .

Back to thm 4.

Sketch proof:

Let  $(\varepsilon_i)_i$  be a family of numbers satisfying (1), (2), (3).

WLOG  $a_i$  are all integers.

Let  $S = \{\infty, 2\} \cup \{p \mid p \mid a_i \text{ for some } i\}$ .

$T = \{v \mid \exists i \geq 1 \text{ with } \varepsilon_i v = -1\}$ .

Case 1)  $S \cap T = \emptyset$ . Set  $a = \prod_{\substack{L \in T \\ L \neq \infty}} L$ ,  $m = \prod_{\substack{L \in S \\ L \neq 2, \infty}} L$ ,

there exists a prime  $p \equiv a \pmod{m}$  with  $p \notin S \cup T$ .

Then  $x = ap$  has the desired property.

Case 2) In general

Since  $(\mathbb{Q}_v^*)^2$  is open in  $\mathbb{Q}_v$ , its intersection with the image of  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  is non-empty by Lemma 2.

So there exists  $x' \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  s.t.  $x'/x_v$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Q}_v^*$  for all  $v \in S$ . Then  $(x', a_i)_v = (a_i, x'_v)_v$  for all  $v \in S$ .

Set  $\eta_i = \varepsilon_i (a_i, x')$ . Then  $(\eta_i)_i$  verifies conditions (1), (2), (3)

and  $\eta_i = 1$  if  $v \in S$ .

So by Case 1) there exists  $y \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  s.t.  $(a_i, y)_v = \eta_i$  for all  $i, v$

Set  $x = y x'$  then  $x$  has the desired properties.

Theorem 3 (Hasse - Minkowski) - In order that a quadratic form  $f$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  represents 0, it is necessary and sufficient that for all  $v \in V$ ,  $f_v$  ~~the~~ which is a quadratic form over  $\mathbb{Q}_v$  whose coefficients are images of coefficients of  $f$  represents 0.

Remark Hasse's principle says if an ~~an~~ equation of polynomials has 0 in all local fields,  
 (Homogeneous polynomials) (nontrivial)

then it has a zero in the global field.

~~This~~ Not all equations verify this principle.

For example, the equation

$3x^3 + 4y^3 + 5z^3$  has a nontrivial solution in each  $\mathbb{Q}_v$  but none in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

(See reference on the link).

However, Hasse's principle is valid for all algebraic curves of genus 0.

Sketch proof of the thm.

Consider  $n =$  the rank of  $f$ .

It is quite easy for  $n=2$ .  
For  $n \geq 3$ , the proof is very technical so skipped.

~~The theorem 2 is useful for the case~~  
For  $n \geq 5$ , we use induction on  $n$ .

We write  $f$  in the form  $f = h + g$

with  $h = a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2$ ,  $g = -(a_3 x_3^2 + \dots + a_n x_n^2)$ .

Let  $S$  be the subset of  $V$  consisting of  $\infty, 2$  and primes  $p$  such that  $v_p(a_i) \neq 0$  for one  $i \geq 3$ ; it is finite.

Let  $\nu \in S$ . Since  $f_\nu$  represents 0, there exists  $a_\nu \in \mathbb{Q}_\nu^*$  which is represented by both  $h$  and  $g$ .

There exists  $x_{i\nu} \in \mathbb{Q}_\nu$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$  s.t.  $h(x_{1\nu}, x_{2\nu}) = a_\nu = g(x_{3\nu}, \dots, x_{n\nu})$

Since  $(\mathbb{Q}_\nu^*)^2$  is open in  $\mathbb{Q}_\nu$ , by approximation theorem this implies the existence of  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$  s.t.

$a_\nu / a_\nu \in \mathbb{Q}_\nu^{*2}$  for all  $a_\nu$  represented by  $h(x_1, x_2)$  and all  $\nu \in S$ .

Now consider  $f_1 = a z^2 - g$ .

Then  $f_1$  represents 0 in all  $\mathbb{Q}_\nu$ .

By induction  $f_1$  represents 0 in  $\mathbb{Q}$  so  $g$  represents  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$

then  $f$  represents 0.

For  $n=4$ , theorem 2 is useful.

Write  $f = a x_1^2 + b x_2^2 - (c x_3^2 + d x_4^2)$ . There exists  $x_\nu \in \mathbb{Q}_\nu^*$  represented by both  $a x_1^2 + b x_2^2$  and  $c x_3^2 + d x_4^2$ . And  $(x_\nu, -ab)_\nu = (a, b)_\nu$  and  $(x_\nu, -cd)_\nu = (c, d)_\nu$

Since  $\prod_{\nu \in V} (a, b)_\nu = \prod_{\nu \in V} (c, d)_\nu = 1$  by thm 1. By thm 4.

there exists  $x \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  s.t.  $(x, -ab)_\nu = (a, b)_\nu$  and  $(x, -cd)_\nu = (c, d)_\nu$  for all  $\nu$ .

Then it is reduced to the case  $n=3$ .



## Classification

Thm 4 - Let  $f$  and  $f'$  be two quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

For  $f$  and  $f'$  to be equivalent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  it is necessary and sufficient that they are equivalent ~~for~~ over each  $\mathbb{Q}_v$ .

" $\Rightarrow$ " Trivial

" $\Leftarrow$ " By thm 3, there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  represented by both  $f$  and  $f'$ . Then we can use induction to show  $f \sim f'$ .

Remark: Invariants of a quadratic form  $f$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

rank  $n$ ,

$d_v(f)$ ,  $v \in V$ ,

$\hat{\Sigma}_v(f)$ ,  $v \in V$ ,

and the invariant  $s, r$  for the real case

i.e.  $f \sim X_1^2 + \dots + X_s^2 - Y_1^2 - \dots - Y_r^2$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ .