

# Thom spectra, infinite loop spaces, generalized cocycles and $\sigma$ -orientation

Jiacheng Liang

SUSTech Department of Mathematics

May 23, 2023

- 1 Thom spectrum functor
  - Thom spectrum functor
  - Properties of the Thom spectrum functor
  - Monad and Thom spectrum functor
  - Diagonal and Thom isomorphism
- 2 Infinite loop space machine and topological settings
  - Infinite loop space machine
  - $n$ -cocycles in a Cartesian monoidal category
  - $n$ -cocycles in algebraic geometry and topology
- 3 Cubical structures and  $\sigma$ -orientation
  - $n$ -cocycles of a line bundle
  - Torsors and Thom sheaves
  - Correspondence between orientations and  $\Theta^k$ -structures
  - $\sigma$ -orientations for elliptic cohomology theories

## Definition (Thom spectrum functor)

Let  $(f : X \rightarrow BO) \in Top_{\downarrow BO}$ , then the standard filtration  $X_V = f^{-1}(BO(V))$  gives a Thom prespectrum

$$M_p(f)(V) = Th(E(X_V) \rightarrow X_V) = E(X_V)_+ \wedge_{O(V)_+} S^V$$

The spectrification  $M(f)$  of  $M_p(f)$  is called the Thom spectrum corresponding  $f$ .

## Remark

- (i) Actually, any filtration  $\varinjlim_{V \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty} F_V X = X$  where  $F_V X$  is a closed subspace of  $X$  such that  $F_V X \subset X_V$  gives the same Thom spectra (though not the same prespectra).
- (ii) For  $G = Sp(\infty), U(\infty), SU(\infty), O(\infty), SO(\infty)$ , almost all arguments about Thom spectra throughout this talk apply for them. In the following content we always use  $O(\infty)$  for convenience.

## Definition (Thom spectrum functor)

Let  $(f : X \rightarrow BO) \in Top_{\downarrow BO}$ , then the standard filtration  $X_V = f^{-1}(BO(V))$  gives a Thom prespectrum

$$M_p(f)(V) = Th(E(X_V) \rightarrow X_V) = E(X_V)_+ \wedge_{O(V)_+} S^V$$

The spectrification  $M(f)$  of  $M_p(f)$  is called the Thom spectrum corresponding  $f$ .

## Remark

- (i) Actually, any filtration  $\varinjlim_{V \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty} F_V X = X$  where  $F_V X$  is a closed subspace of  $X$  such that  $F_V X \subset X_V$  gives the same Thom spectra (though not the same prespectra).
- (ii) For  $G = Sp(\infty), U(\infty), SU(\infty), O(\infty), SO(\infty)$ , almost all arguments about Thom spectra throughout this talk apply for them. In the following content we always use  $O(\infty)$  for convenience.

# Properties of the Thom spectrum functor

For any spectrum  $E \in Sp$  and any  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$ ,  $\Omega^\infty E$  admits a right  $O(V)$ -action since  $\Omega^\infty E = E_0 = \Omega^V E_V = F(S^V, E_V)$ . These actions are coherent between different  $V$ , so we actually get a right  $O$ -action on  $\Omega^\infty E$ .

## Theorem

*The Thom spectrum functor induces a continuous adjoint pair*

$$Top_{\downarrow BO} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{M(-)} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{EO \times_O \Omega^\infty(-)} \end{array} Sp$$

*Given a map  $(f : X \rightarrow BO) \in \mathcal{U}/BO$  and  $E \in Sp$ , then*

$$\text{Hom}_{Sp}(Mf, E) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(f^* EO, \Omega^\infty E) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}/BO}(X, EO \times_O \Omega^\infty E)$$

# Properties of the Thom spectrum functor

For any spectrum  $E \in Sp$  and any  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$ ,  $\Omega^\infty E$  admits a right  $O(V)$ -action since  $\Omega^\infty E = E_0 = \Omega^V E_V = F(S^V, E_V)$ . These actions are coherent between different  $V$ , so we actually get a right  $O$ -action on  $\Omega^\infty E$ .

## Theorem

*The Thom spectrum functor induces a continuous adjoint pair*

$$Top_{\downarrow BO} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{M(-)} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{EO \times_O \Omega^\infty(-)} \end{array} Sp$$

*Given a map  $(f : X \rightarrow BO) \in \mathcal{U}/BO$  and  $E \in Sp$ , then*

$$\text{Hom}_{Sp}(Mf, E) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(f^* EO, \Omega^\infty E) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}/BO}(X, EO \times_O \Omega^\infty E)$$

First

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Sp}(Mf, E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp}(\mathrm{colim}_V MX_V, E) = \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp}(MX_V, E)$$

Second we define  $EX_V$  and  $Z(V)$  by pullback diagrams,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EX_V & \longrightarrow & EO(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_V & \longrightarrow & BO(V) \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z_V & \longrightarrow & EO(V) \times_{O(V)} O \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_V & \longrightarrow & BO(V) \end{array}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp}(MX_V, E) &= \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}_*}(EX_{V+} \wedge_{O(V)} S^V, E_V) = \\ \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}_*[O_{V+}]}(EX_{V+}, \Omega^V E_V) &= \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O_V]}(EX_V, \Omega^\infty E) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(EX_V \times_{O(V)} O, \Omega^\infty E) = \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(Z_V, \Omega^\infty E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(f^* EO, \Omega^\infty E)$$

Since equivariant maps from a principle  $G$ -bundle to a  $G$ -space are equivalent to sections of the associated bundle, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(f^* EO, \Omega^\infty E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}/X}(X, f^* EO \times_O \Omega^\infty E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}/BO}(X, EO \times_O \Omega^\infty E)$$

First

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Sp}(Mf, E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp}(\mathrm{colim}_V MX_V, E) = \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp}(MX_V, E)$$

Second we define  $EX_V$  and  $Z(V)$  by pullback diagrams,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EX_V & \longrightarrow & EO(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_V & \longrightarrow & BO(V) \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z_V & \longrightarrow & EO(V) \times_{O(V)} O \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_V & \longrightarrow & BO(V) \end{array}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp}(MX_V, E) &= \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}_*}(EX_{V+} \wedge_{O(V)} S^V, E_V) = \\ \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}_*[O_{V+}]}(EX_{V+}, \Omega^V E_V) &= \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O_V]}(EX_V, \Omega^\infty E) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(EX_V \times_{O(V)} O, \Omega^\infty E) = \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(Z_V, \Omega^\infty E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(f^* EO, \Omega^\infty E)$$

Since equivariant maps from a principle  $G$ -bundle to a  $G$ -space are equivalent to sections of the associated bundle, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(f^* EO, \Omega^\infty E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}/X}(X, f^* EO \times_O \Omega^\infty E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}/BO}(X, EO \times_O \Omega^\infty E)$$



First

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Sp}(Mf, E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp}(\mathrm{colim}_V MX_V, E) = \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp}(MX_V, E)$$

Second we define  $EX_V$  and  $Z(V)$  by pullback diagrams,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EX_V & \longrightarrow & EO(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_V & \longrightarrow & BO(V) \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z_V & \longrightarrow & EO(V) \times_{O(V)} O \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_V & \longrightarrow & BO(V) \end{array}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp}(MX_V, E) &= \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}_*}(EX_{V+} \wedge_{O(V)} S^V, E_V) = \\ \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}_*[O_{V+}]}(EX_{V+}, \Omega^V E_V) &= \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O_V]}(EX_V, \Omega^\infty E) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(EX_V \times_{O(V)} O, \Omega^\infty E) = \lim_V \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(Z_V, \Omega^\infty E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(f^* EO, \Omega^\infty E)$$

Since equivariant maps from a principle  $G$ -bundle to a  $G$ -space are equivalent to sections of the associated bundle, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}[O]}(f^* EO, \Omega^\infty E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}/X}(X, f^* EO \times_O \Omega^\infty E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}/BO}(X, EO \times_O \Omega^\infty E)$$

# Properties of the Thom spectrum functor

## Proposition

This adjunction  $Top_{\downarrow BO} \begin{matrix} M(-) \\ \rightleftarrows \\ EO \times_O \Omega^\infty(-) \end{matrix} Sp$  is actually a Quillen adjunction since  $M(S^{n-1} \rightarrow D^n)$  is a cell pair of spectra and  $M(D^n \times 0 \rightarrow D^n \times I)$  is a weak equivalent cell pair for those morphisms over  $BO$ .

## Proposition

Let  $f : X \rightarrow BO$  be a map and  $A$  a space. Let  $g$  be the composite  $X \times A \rightarrow X \rightarrow BO$ , where the first map is the projection away from  $A$ . Then  $T(g) = A_+ \wedge T(f)$ , which implies Thom spectrum functor preserves tensors, and hence is a topological Quillen functor.

## Proposition

Thom spectrum functor  $T(-)$  preserves weak equivalences. Any Thom spectrum  $T(f)$  from a map  $F : X \rightarrow BO$  is  $(-1)$ -connective.

# Properties of the Thom spectrum functor

## Proposition

This adjunction  $Top_{\downarrow BO} \begin{matrix} M(-) \\ \rightleftarrows \\ EO \times_O \Omega^\infty(-) \end{matrix} Sp$  is actually a Quillen adjunction since  $M(S^{n-1} \rightarrow D^n)$  is a cell pair of spectra and  $M(D^n \times 0 \rightarrow D^n \times I)$  is a weak equivalent cell pair for those morphisms over  $BO$ .

## Proposition

Let  $f : X \rightarrow BO$  be a map and  $A$  a space. Let  $g$  be the composite  $X \times A \rightarrow X \rightarrow BO$ , where the first map is the projection away from  $A$ . Then  $T(g) = A_+ \wedge T(f)$ , which implies Thom spectrum functor preserves tensors, and hence is a topological Quillen functor.

## Proposition

Thom spectrum functor  $T(-)$  preserves weak equivalences. Any Thom spectrum  $T(f)$  from a map  $F : X \rightarrow BO$  is  $(-1)$ -connective.

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$  be two real universes.

(i) Given maps  $B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(V_1, V_2)$  and  $f : X \rightarrow BO(\mathcal{V}_1)$ , denote  $g$  to be the composition  $B \times X \rightarrow B \times BO(\mathcal{V}_1) \rightarrow BO(\mathcal{V}_2)$ . Then we have the natural isomorphism  $T(g) \cong B \times T(f)$ .

(ii) Given maps  $f : X \rightarrow BO(\mathcal{V}_1)$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow BO(\mathcal{V}_2)$ , denote  $f \times g$  to be the composition  $X \times Y \rightarrow BO(\mathcal{V}_1) \times BO(\mathcal{V}_2) \rightarrow BO(\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2)$ . Then  $T(f \times g) \cong T(f) \bar{\wedge} T(g)$ .

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{L}(n) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty \times n}, \mathbb{R}^{\infty})$ , then for any map  $f : X \rightarrow BO$  we have

$$T\left(\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} BO^n \rightarrow BO\right) = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} T(f)^{\bar{\wedge} n}$$

## Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be topological bicomplete categories, and  $\mathbb{A} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathbb{B} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be continuous monads. Further suppose that there is a continuous functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  which is coherent with the monad structure and therefore yields a functor

$$F : \mathcal{C}[\mathbb{A}] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}[\mathbb{B}].$$

If  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is left adjoint functor preserving tensors, and the monads  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  preserve reflexive coequalizers, then  $F : \mathcal{C}[\mathbb{A}] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}[\mathbb{B}]$  is still a left adjoint functor preserving tensors.

## Theorem

Thom spectrum functor induces topological Quillen adjoint pairs

$$Top[\mathcal{L}(1)]_{\downarrow BO} \rightleftarrows Sp[\mathcal{L}(1)] \quad \text{and} \quad Top[E_{\infty}]_{\downarrow BO} \rightleftarrows Sp[E_{\infty}].$$

# Diagonal and Thom isomorphism

## Definition (coaction)

For any map  $f : X \rightarrow BO$ , the diagonal induces a coaction  $X \rightarrow X \times X$  in  $Top_{\downarrow BO}$ , where  $X \times X \rightarrow BO$  is the projection of the second variable. It gives a natural coaction on Thom spectra:  $Mf \rightarrow X_+ \wedge Mf$ .

## Definition (Thom morphism)

With the same hypothesis above, given a homotopy commutative ring spectrum  $E$  and a morphism of spectra  $Mf \rightarrow E$  we have a natural morphism  $E \wedge Mf \rightarrow E \wedge X_+ \wedge Mf \rightarrow E \wedge X_+ \wedge E \rightarrow E \wedge X_+$  in  $Ho(Sp)$ . It induces a natural homological morphism  $\phi_f : E_*(Mf) \rightarrow E_*(X)$ .

Under certain condition  $\phi_f$  will be an isomorphism, which is called Thom isomorphism.

## Theorem (Thom isomorphism)

Let  $G = Sp(\infty), U(\infty), SU(\infty), O(\infty), SO(\infty)$  or  $Spin(\infty)$ . Let  $E$  be a homotopy commutative ring (phantom-)spectrum.

(i) Given a (phantom) ring spectrum morphism  $MG \rightarrow E$ , then for any map  $X \rightarrow BG$  the Thom morphism  $E_*(Mf) \rightarrow E_*(X)$  is an isomorphism.

Moreover, if  $X$  is  $E_\infty$  and  $f$  is an  $E_\infty$  map, then  $E_*(Mf) \rightarrow E_*(X)$  is an isomorphism of  $E_*$ -algebras.

(ii) Given an  $E_\infty$  space  $X$  and an  $E_\infty$  map  $f : X \rightarrow BG$ . Let  $Mf \rightarrow E$  be a (phantom) ring spectrum morphism. If  $X$  is 0-connected, then  $E_*(Mf) \rightarrow E_*(X)$  is an isomorphism of  $E_*$ -algebras.

## Example

Let  $MO \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}/2$  and  $MU \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}$  be ring spectrum morphisms from the 0-th postnikov tower. Then we have natural Thom isomorphisms  $H_*(MO; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H_*(BO; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  and  $H_*(MU) \rightarrow H_*(BU)$ .

## Theorem (Thom isomorphism)

Let  $G = Sp(\infty), U(\infty), SU(\infty), O(\infty), SO(\infty)$  or  $Spin(\infty)$ . Let  $E$  be a homotopy commutative ring (phantom-)spectrum.

(i) Given a (phantom) ring spectrum morphism  $MG \rightarrow E$ , then for any map  $X \rightarrow BG$  the Thom morphism  $E_*(Mf) \rightarrow E_*(X)$  is an isomorphism.

Moreover, if  $X$  is  $E_\infty$  and  $f$  is an  $E_\infty$  map, then  $E_*(Mf) \rightarrow E_*(X)$  is an isomorphism of  $E_*$ -algebras.

(ii) Given an  $E_\infty$  space  $X$  and an  $E_\infty$  map  $f : X \rightarrow BG$ . Let  $Mf \rightarrow E$  be a (phantom) ring spectrum morphism. If  $X$  is 0-connected, then  $E_*(Mf) \rightarrow E_*(X)$  is an isomorphism of  $E_*$ -algebras.

## Example

Let  $MO \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}/2$  and  $MU \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}$  be ring spectrum morphisms from the 0-th postnikov tower. Then we have natural Thom isomorphisms

$$H_*(MO; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H_*(BO; \mathbb{Z}/2) \text{ and } H_*(MU) \rightarrow H_*(BU).$$



# Infinite loop space machine

We first introduce some consequences of infinite loop space machine.

**Theorem (Additive infinite loop space machine, in ABGHR)**

Let  $C$  be a cofibrant unital  $E_\infty$  operad in  $Top$  and  $f : C_* \rightarrow \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty$  be a morphism of monads on  $Top_*$ . Then the Quillen pair  $(\Sigma^f, \Omega^f)$  induces a equivalence of categories enriched in  $Ho(Top)$  if we restrict it to the following subcategories

$$\text{group-like } Ho(E_\infty\text{-spaces}) \rightleftarrows (-1)\text{-connective } Ho(Sp)$$

where  $\Sigma^f(-) = \Sigma^\infty \otimes_{C_*} (-)$  is the coequalizer of the following diagram in  $Sp$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^\infty C_* X & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty \mu} & \Sigma X \longrightarrow \Sigma^f X \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \Sigma^\infty \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X & \end{array}$$

And  $\Omega^f X = \Omega^\infty X$  is endowed with the  $C_*$ -action  $C_* \Omega^\infty X \rightarrow \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty \Omega^\infty X \rightarrow \Omega^\infty X$ .

# Uniqueness of infinite loop space machine

Theorem (Uniqueness of additive infinite loop space machine, May 77)

We define an infinite loop space machine to be an adjoint pair  $(F, G)$

$\text{Ho}(E_\infty\text{-spaces}) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{matrix} (-1)\text{-connective } \text{Ho}(Sp)$  such that

(1) The composition

$(-1)\text{-connective } \text{Ho}(Sp) \xrightarrow{G} \text{Ho}(E_\infty\text{-spaces}) \rightarrow \text{CMon}(\text{Ho}(\text{Top}_*))$  is equivalent to  $\Omega^\infty$ ;

(2) For any  $X \in \text{Ho}(E_\infty\text{-spaces})$ ,  $X \rightarrow GF(X)$  is a group completion, which means  $\pi_0 GF(X)$  is a group and  $H_*(X)[(\pi_0 X)^{-1}] \rightarrow H_* GF(X)$  is isomorphic.

Now, if  $(F_1, G_1)$  and  $(F_2, G_2)$  are two infinite loop space machines, then there exists a natural equivalence between  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ .

Remark

The existence of infinite loop space implies that for any group-like  $E_\infty$ -space  $X$ , the induced pointed  $H$ -space of it is actually an  $H$ -group because  $X \cong \Omega^\infty FX$  in  $\text{CMon}(\text{Ho}(\text{Top}_*))$  and  $\Omega^\infty FX$  is a pointed  $H$ -group.

# Uniqueness of infinite loop space machine

Theorem (Uniqueness of additive infinite loop space machine, May 77)

We define an infinite loop space machine to be an adjoint pair  $(F, G)$

$\text{Ho}(E_\infty\text{-spaces}) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{matrix} (-1)\text{-connective } \text{Ho}(Sp)$  such that

(1) The composition

$(-1)\text{-connective } \text{Ho}(Sp) \xrightarrow{G} \text{Ho}(E_\infty\text{-spaces}) \rightarrow \text{CMon}(\text{Ho}(\text{Top}_*))$  is equivalent to  $\Omega^\infty$ ;

(2) For any  $X \in \text{Ho}(E_\infty\text{-spaces})$ ,  $X \rightarrow GF(X)$  is a group completion, which means  $\pi_0 GF(X)$  is a group and  $H_*(X)[(\pi_0 X)^{-1}] \rightarrow H_* GF(X)$  is isomorphic.

Now, if  $(F_1, G_1)$  and  $(F_2, G_2)$  are two infinite loop space machines, then there exists a natural equivalence between  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ .

## Remark

The existence of infinite loop space implies that for any group-like  $E_\infty$ -space  $X$ , the induced pointed  $H$ -space of it is actually an  $H$ -group because  $X \cong \Omega^\infty FX$  in  $\text{CMon}(\text{Ho}(\text{Top}_*))$  and  $\Omega^\infty FX$  is a pointed  $H$ -group.

We also consider the connective complex K-theory  $bu$ , so  $bu^* = \mathbb{Z}[v]$ ,  $|v| = -2$  and  $bu^{2t}(X) = [X, BU\langle 2t \rangle]$ . To make this true when  $t = 0$ , we adopt the convention that  $BU\langle 0 \rangle = \mathbb{Z} \times BU$ . Multiplication by  $v^t : \Sigma^{2t}bu \rightarrow bu$  gives the  $(2t - 1)$ -connective cover of  $bu$ . We define  $BU\langle 2t \rangle = \Omega^\infty \Sigma^{2t}bu$ . Under this identification, we have a sequence of morphisms in  $Ho(Top[E_\infty])$

$$\dots \rightarrow BU\langle 2k \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow BU\langle 6 \rangle \rightarrow BSU \rightarrow BU \rightarrow BU\langle 0 \rangle$$

derived from infinite loop space machine.

## Proposition

*Combine with the Thom spectrum functor  $Top[E_\infty]_{\downarrow BU} \rightleftharpoons Sp[E_\infty]$ , the sequence above induces a new sequence of morphisms in  $Ho(Sp[E_\infty])$*

$$\dots \rightarrow MU\langle 2k \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow MU\langle 6 \rangle \rightarrow MSU \rightarrow MU \rightarrow MP.$$

We also consider the connective complex K-theory  $bu$ , so  $bu^* = \mathbb{Z}[v]$ ,  $|v| = -2$  and  $bu^{2t}(X) = [X, BU\langle 2t \rangle]$ . To make this true when  $t = 0$ , we adopt the convention that  $BU\langle 0 \rangle = \mathbb{Z} \times BU$ . Multiplication by  $v^t : \Sigma^{2t}bu \rightarrow bu$  gives the  $(2t - 1)$ -connective cover of  $bu$ . We define  $BU\langle 2t \rangle = \Omega^\infty \Sigma^{2t}bu$ . Under this identification, we have a sequence of morphisms in  $Ho(Top[E_\infty])$

$$\dots \rightarrow BU\langle 2k \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow BU\langle 6 \rangle \rightarrow BSU \rightarrow BU \rightarrow BU\langle 0 \rangle$$

derived from infinite loop space machine.

## Proposition

Combine with the Thom spectrum functor  $Top[E_\infty]_{\downarrow BU} \rightleftharpoons Sp[E_\infty]$ , the sequence above induces a new sequence of morphisms in  $Ho(Sp[E_\infty])$

$$\dots \rightarrow MU\langle 2k \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow MU\langle 6 \rangle \rightarrow MSU \rightarrow MU \rightarrow MP.$$

# Connective K-theory and cocycles

Firstly define the map  $\rho_0 : P \rightarrow 1 \times BU \subset BU\langle 0 \rangle$  just to be the map classifying the tautological line bundle  $L$ .

As for  $t > 0$ , let  $L_1, \dots, L_t$  be the obvious line bundles over  $P^t$ . Let  $x_i \in bu^2(P^t)$  be the  $bu$ -theory Euler class, given by the formula

$$vx_i = 1 - L_i.$$

Then we have the isomorphisms

$$bu^*(P^t) \cong \mathbb{Z}[v][[x_1, \dots, x_t]]$$

The class  $\prod_i x_i \in bu^{2t}(P^t)$  gives the map  $\rho_t : P^t = (\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^t \rightarrow BU\langle 2t \rangle$ .

## Remark

Note that the composition  $P^t \xrightarrow{\rho_t} BU\langle 2t \rangle \rightarrow BU\langle 0 \rangle$  classifies the bundle  $\prod_i (1 - L_i)$ .

# Connective K-theory and cocycles

Firstly define the map  $\rho_0 : P \rightarrow 1 \times BU \subset BU\langle 0 \rangle$  just to be the map classifying the tautological line bundle  $L$ .

As for  $t > 0$ , let  $L_1, \dots, L_t$  be the obvious line bundles over  $P^t$ . Let  $x_i \in bu^2(P^t)$  be the  $bu$ -theory Euler class, given by the formula

$$vx_i = 1 - L_i.$$

Then we have the isomorphisms

$$bu^*(P^t) \cong \mathbb{Z}[v][[x_1, \dots, x_t]]$$

The class  $\prod_i x_i \in bu^{2t}(P^t)$  gives the map  $\rho_t : P^t = (\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^t \rightarrow BU\langle 2t \rangle$ .

## Remark

Note that the composition  $P^t \xrightarrow{\rho_t} BU\langle 2t \rangle \rightarrow BU\langle 0 \rangle$  classifies the bundle  $\prod_i (1 - L_i)$ .

## Definition

Let  $C$  be a category admitting finite products. If  $A$  and  $T$  are abelian monoid objects in  $CMon(C)$ , we define  $C^0(A, T)$  to be the group

$$C^0(A, T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}_C(A, T)$$

and for  $k \geq 1$  we let  $C^k(A, T)$  be the subgroup of  $f \in \text{Hom}_C(A^k, T)$  such that

- (a)  $f(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, 0) = 0$
- (b)  $f(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  is symmetric in the  $a_i$ ;
- (c)  $f(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k) + f(a_0, a_1 + a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k) = f(a_0 + a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k) + f(a_0, a_1, a_3, \dots, a_k)$ , when  $k \geq 2$ .

## Remark

We refer to (c) as the “cocycle” condition for  $f$ . If  $T$  is an abelian group object, then in definition (a) can be replaced by (a)':  $f(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ .



From definition we can make  $n$ -cocycles a sheaf as the following: let  $X, Y$  are commutative monoid fppf sheaves over  $S$ , we define  $\underline{C}^k(X, Y)(T) = C^k(X_T, Y_T)$ . It is actually a representable commutative monoid sheaf in  $Sh(Sch/S)_{fppf}$  in certain case.

## Proposition

Let  $G$  be a formal group over a scheme  $S$ . Then for all  $k$ , the functor  $\underline{C}^k(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$  is an  $S$ -affine commutative group scheme.

*Proof:* It suffices to work  $k \geq 1$  and locally on  $S$ , so we may assume  $S = \text{Spec}(R)$  and choose a coordinate  $x$  on  $G$ . We define power series  $g_0, \dots, g_k$  by

$$g_i = \begin{cases} i = 0 & f(0, \dots, 0) \\ i < k & f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, x_i, \dots, x_k) f(x_1, \dots, x_k)^{-1} \\ i = k & f(x_1, \dots, x_k) f(x_0 +_F x_1, x_2, \dots)^{-1} f(x_0, x_1 +_F x_2, \dots) f(x_0, x_1, x_3, \dots)^{-1} \end{cases}$$

Let  $I$  be the ideal in  $R$  generated by all the coefficients of all the power series  $g_i - 1$ . It is not hard to check  $\text{Spec}(R/I)$  has the universal property that defines  $\underline{C}^k(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ .

From definition we can make  $n$ -cocycles a sheaf as the following: let  $X, Y$  are commutative monoid fppf sheaves over  $S$ , we define  $\underline{C}^k(X, Y)(T) = C^k(X_T, Y_T)$ . It is actually a representable commutative monoid sheaf in  $Sh(Sch/S)_{fppf}$  in certain case.

## Proposition

Let  $G$  be a formal group over a scheme  $S$ . Then for all  $k$ , the functor  $\underline{C}^k(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$  is an  $S$ -affine commutative group scheme.

*Proof:* It suffices to work  $k \geq 1$  and locally on  $S$ , so we may assume  $S = \text{Spec}(R)$  and choose a coordinate  $x$  on  $G$ . We define power series  $g_0, \dots, g_k$  by

$$g_i = \begin{cases} i = 0 & f(0, \dots, 0) \\ i < k & f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, x_i, \dots, x_k) f(x_1, \dots, x_k)^{-1} \\ i = k & f(x_1, \dots, x_k) f(x_0 +_F x_1, x_2, \dots)^{-1} f(x_0, x_1 +_F x_2, \dots) f(x_0, x_1, x_3, \dots)^{-1} \end{cases}$$

Let  $I$  be the ideal in  $R$  generated by all the coefficients of all the power series  $g_i - 1$ . It is not hard to check  $\text{Spec}(R/I)$  has the universal property that defines  $\underline{C}^k(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ .

## Definition

We say a space  $X$  to be “even” iff  $H_*(X)$  is concentrated in even degrees and  $H_n(X)$  is free abelian for all  $n$ .

## Lemma (Hatcher 4C.1)

If  $X$  is even and simply-connected, then there exists a CW approximation  $W \rightarrow X$  such that  $W$  only consists of cells of even degrees.

## Proposition

- (1) Let  $E$  be an EWP commutative ring (phantom-)spectrum. Then for any even space  $X$ , the A-T spectral sequence  $H_*(X; E_*) \implies E_*(X)$  collapses. Therefore  $E_*(X)$  is a free  $E_*$ -module and  $E^*(X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{E_*}^*(E_*X, E_*)$  is bijective.
- (2) The  $E_0(X)$  is a cocommutative  $E_0$ -coalgebra by kunneth theorem. If  $X$  is an even H-space, we define  $X_E = \text{Spf } E^0 X$ , then the natural Cartier morphism  $\text{Spec } E_0 X \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Grp}/E}(X_E, \mathbb{G}_{m,E})$  is isomorphic, which is the special Cartier duality.

## Definition

We say a space  $X$  to be “even” iff  $H_*(X)$  is concentrated in even degrees and  $H_n(X)$  is free abelian for all  $n$ .

## Lemma (Hatcher 4C.1)

If  $X$  is even and simply-connected, then there exists a CW approximation  $W \rightarrow X$  such that  $W$  only consists of cells of even degrees.

## Proposition

- (1) Let  $E$  be an EWP commutative ring (phantom-)spectrum. Then for any even space  $X$ , the A-T spectral sequence  $H_*(X; E_*) \implies E_*(X)$  collapses. Therefore  $E_*(X)$  is a free  $E_*$ -module and  $E^*(X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{E_*}^*(E_*X, E_*)$  is bijective.
- (2) The  $E_0(X)$  is a cocommutative  $E_0$ -coalgebra by kunneth theorem. If  $X$  is an even H-space, we define  $X_E = \text{Spf } E^0 X$ , then the natural Cartier morphism  $\text{Spec } E_0 X \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Grp}/E}(X_E, \mathbb{G}_{m,E})$  is isomorphic, which is the special Cartier duality.

## Proposition

Let  $X$  be an even commutative  $H$ -space, we have the following diagram in  $C\text{Mon}(\text{Sets})$  for any  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C^k(P, X) & \longrightarrow & C_{E_0\text{-CcoAl}}^k(E_0P, E_0X) & \dashrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{Mon}/E}(X^E, \underline{C}^k(P_E, \mathbb{G}_{m,E})) \\
 & & \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\
 & & \underline{C}^k(P_E, \mathbb{M}_{m,E})(\text{Spec } E_0X) & \longleftarrow & \underline{C}^k(P_E, \mathbb{G}_{m,E})(\text{Spec } E_0X)
 \end{array}$$

where  $P = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  is with the  $H$ -structure by tensor product of line bundles, and where  $P_E = \text{Spf } E^0P$ ,  $X^E = \text{Spec } E_0X$ . The dashed liftings exist only when  $k \geq 1$  or  $X$  is an  $H$ -group, and in those 2 cases all cocycle sets above are abelian groups.

Apply it to  $\rho_t \in C^t(P, BU\langle 2t \rangle)$ , we get morphisms of commutative group schemes over  $\text{Spec}(E_0)$  for all  $t \geq 0$

$$f_t : \text{Spec } E_0BU\langle 2t \rangle \rightarrow \underline{C}^k(P_E, \mathbb{G}_{m,E}).$$

# Algebraic-geometric interpretation of some E-homology rings

The classical theory about the complex orientation tells us  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are isomorphisms. Furthermore, we have the following

## Theorem (Ando-Hopkins-Strickland)

*The morphism  $f_k : \text{Spec } E_0 BU \langle 2k \rangle \rightarrow \underline{C}^k(P_E, \mathbb{G}_{m,E})$  is an isomorphism of group schemes over  $\text{Spec } E_0$  when  $0 \leq k \leq 3$ .*

This theorem is, actually, the most technical part in [AHS], which involves amounts of calculus in both algebraic topology and algebraic geometry.

Now let us delay the proof and consider the following definition

## Definition

If  $G$  and  $T$  are abelian group objects, and if  $k \geq 0$  and  $f \in C^k(G, T)$ , then let  $\delta(f) \in C^{k+1}(G, T)$  be the map given by the formula for  $k \geq 1$

$$\delta(f)(a_0, \dots, a_k) = f(a_0, a_2, \dots, a_k) + f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) - f(a_0 + a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k).$$

For  $k = 0$ , the map should be  $\delta(f)(a) = f(0) - f(a)$

# Algebraic-geometric interpretation of some E-homology rings

The classical theory about the complex orientation tells us  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are isomorphisms. Furthermore, we have the following

## Theorem (Ando-Hopkins-Strickland)

*The morphism  $f_k : \text{Spec } E_0 BU \langle 2k \rangle \rightarrow \underline{C}^k(P_E, \mathbb{G}_{m,E})$  is an isomorphism of group schemes over  $\text{Spec } E_0$  when  $0 \leq k \leq 3$ .*

This theorem is, actually, the most technical part in [AHS], which involves amounts of calculus in both algebraic topology and algebraic geometry.

Now let us delay the proof and consider the following definition

## Definition

If  $G$  and  $T$  are abelian group objects, and if  $k \geq 0$  and  $f \in C^k(G, T)$ , then let  $\delta(f) \in C^{k+1}(G, T)$  be the map given by the formula for  $k \geq 1$

$$\delta(f)(a_0, \dots, a_k) = f(a_0, a_2, \dots, a_k) + f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) - f(a_0 + a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) .$$

For  $k = 0$ , the map should be  $\delta(f)(a) = f(0) - f(a)$

It is clear that  $\delta$  generalizes to abelian groups in any category with products. We leave it to the reader to verify the following.

## Proposition

For  $k \geq 0$ , the map  $\delta$  induces a homomorphism of groups

$$\delta : C^k(G, T) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(G, T)$$

Moreover, if  $G$  and  $T$  are formal groups over a scheme  $S$ , then  $\delta$  induces a homomorphism of group schemes  $\delta : \underline{C}^k(G, T) \rightarrow \underline{C}^{k+1}(G, T)$ .

## Proposition

The map  $\rho_t$  is contained in the subgroup  $C^t(P, BU\langle 2t \rangle)$  of  $bu^{2t}(P^t)$  and satisfies

$$v_*\rho_{t+1} = \delta(\rho_t) \in C^{t+1}(P, BU\langle 2t \rangle).$$



Proof of the proposition above: When  $t \geq 1$ ,  $v_*\rho_{t+1} = v \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t+1}$  and  $\delta(\rho_t) = x_0 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t+1} + x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t+1} - (x_0 + b_u x_1) \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t+1} = v \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t+1}$ .

$$= [x_0 + x_1 - (x_0 + x_1 - v \cdot x_1 x_2)] \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t+1} = v \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t+1}$$

, i.e.  $v_*\rho_{t+1} = \delta(\rho_t) \in C^{t+1}(P, BU\langle 2t \rangle)$ .

### Corollary

By the fact  $v_*\rho_{t+1} = \delta(\rho_t) \in C^{t+1}(P, BU\langle 2t \rangle)$ , we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 BU\langle 2t \rangle^E & \xrightarrow{f_t} & \underline{C}^t(P_E, \mathbb{G}_{m,E}) \\
 \downarrow & t \geq 0 & \downarrow \delta \\
 BU\langle 2t + 2 \rangle^E & \xrightarrow{f_{t+1}} & \underline{C}^{t+1}(P_E, \mathbb{G}_{m,E})
 \end{array}$$

## Definition

Suppose that  $k \geq 1$  and  $G$  is an abelian big-Zariski-sheaf over  $S$ . Given a subset  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ , we define  $\sigma_I : G_S^k \rightarrow G$  by  $\sigma_I(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \sum_{i \in I} a_i$ , and we write  $\mathcal{L}_I = \sigma_I^* \mathcal{L}$ , which is a line bundle over  $G_S^k$ . We also define the line bundle  $\Theta^k(\mathcal{L})$  over  $G_S^k$  by the formula

$$\Theta^k(\mathcal{L}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigotimes_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} (\mathcal{L}_I)^{(-1)^{|I|}}$$

Finally, we define  $\Theta^0(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$ . For example we have

$$\Theta^0(\mathcal{L})_a = \mathcal{L}_a, \quad \Theta^1(\mathcal{L})_a = \frac{\mathcal{L}_0}{\mathcal{L}_a}, \quad \Theta^2(\mathcal{L})_{a,b} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}_{a+b}}{\mathcal{L}_a \otimes \mathcal{L}_b}$$

$$\Theta^3(\mathcal{L})_{a,b,c} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}_{a+b} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{a+c} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{b+c}}{\mathcal{L}_a \otimes \mathcal{L}_b \otimes \mathcal{L}_c \otimes \mathcal{L}_{a+b+c}}$$

We observe three facts about these bundles.

(i)  $\Theta^k(\mathcal{L})$  has a natural rigid structure for  $k > 0$ .

(ii) For each permutation  $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$ , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\xi_\sigma : \pi_\sigma^* \Theta^k(\mathcal{L}) \cong \Theta^k(\mathcal{L})$$

where  $\pi_\sigma : G_S^k \rightarrow G_S^k$  permutes the factors. Moreover, these isomorphisms compose in the obvious way.

(iii) There is a canonical identification (of rigid line bundles over  $G_S^{k+1}$ )

$$\Theta^k(\mathcal{L})_{a_1, a_2, \dots} \otimes \Theta^k(\mathcal{L})_{a_0 + a_1, a_2, \dots}^{-1} \otimes \Theta^k(\mathcal{L})_{a_0, a_1 + a_2, \dots} \otimes \Theta^k(\mathcal{L})_{a_0, a_1, \dots}^{-1} \cong 1$$

### Definition

A  $\Theta^k$ -structure on a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  over a group  $G$  is a trivialization  $s$  of the line bundle  $\Theta^k(\mathcal{L})$  such that

(i) for  $k > 0$ ,  $s$  is a rigid section;

(ii)  $s$  is symmetric in the sense that for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$ , we have  $\xi_\sigma \pi_\sigma^* s = s$ ;

(iii) the section

$$s(a_1, a_2, \dots) \otimes s(a_0 + a_1, a_2, \dots)^{-1} \otimes s(a_0, a_1 + a_2, \dots) \otimes s(a_0, a_1, \dots)^{-1}$$

corresponds to 1 under the isomorphism above.

## n-cocycles of a line bundle

A  $\Theta^3$ -structure on a line bundles is called by a cubical structure.

### Definition

We write  $C^k(G; \mathcal{L})$  for the set of  $\Theta^k$ -structures on  $\mathcal{L}$  over  $G$ . Note that  $C^0(G; \mathcal{L})$  is just the set of trivializations of  $\mathcal{L}$ , and  $C^1(G; \mathcal{L})$  is the set of rigid trivializations of  $\Theta^1(\mathcal{L})$ . We also define a functor from rings to sets by

$$\underline{C}^k(G; \mathcal{L})(R) = \left\{ (u, f) \mid u : \text{spec}(R) \rightarrow S, f \in C_{\text{spec}(R)}^k(u^* G; u^* \mathcal{L}) \right\}$$

### Remark

Note that for the trivial line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_G$ , the set  $C^k(G; \mathcal{O}_G)$  reduces to that of the group  $C^k(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$  of cocycles introduced previously.

For any two line bundles  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ , we have natural  $C^k(G; \mathcal{L}_1) \times C^k(G; \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow C^k(G; \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2)$  by  $(s_1, s_2) \mapsto s_1 \otimes s_2$ . Consequently, let  $\mathcal{L}_1$  be trivial, then we can get a natural group action  $C^k(G; \mathbb{G}_m) \times C^k(G; \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow C^k(G; \mathcal{L})$  for any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ .

## Proposition

*If  $G$  is a formal group over  $S$ , and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle over  $G$  trivializable Zariski locally on  $S$ , then the functor  $\underline{C}^k(G; \mathcal{L})$  is a scheme, whose formation commutes with change of base. Moreover,  $\underline{C}^k(G; \mathcal{L})$  is a torsor for  $\underline{C}^k(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ .*

Now turn to the topology.

## Definition

Suppose that  $X$  is a finite even complex and  $V$  is a virtual complex vector bundle classified by a  $X \rightarrow Z \times BU$ . We write  $X^V$  for its Thom spectrum. The coaction of the Thom spectrum makes  $E^0 X^V$  an  $E^0 X$ -module. By Thom isomorphism Zariski locally, it is a line bundle further.

For any two line bundles  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ , we have natural  $C^k(G; \mathcal{L}_1) \times C^k(G; \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow C^k(G; \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2)$  by  $(s_1, s_2) \mapsto s_1 \otimes s_2$ . Consequently, let  $\mathcal{L}_1$  be trivial, then we can get a natural group action  $C^k(G; \mathbb{G}_m) \times C^k(G; \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow C^k(G; \mathcal{L})$  for any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ .

## Proposition

*If  $G$  is a formal group over  $S$ , and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle over  $G$  trivializable Zariski locally on  $S$ , then the functor  $\underline{C}^k(G; \mathcal{L})$  is a scheme, whose formation commutes with change of base. Moreover,  $\underline{C}^k(G; \mathcal{L})$  is a torsor for  $\underline{C}^k(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ .*

Now turn to the topology.

## Definition

Suppose that  $X$  is a finite even complex and  $V$  is a virtual complex vector bundle classified by a  $X \rightarrow Z \times BU$ . We write  $X^V$  for its Thom spectrum. The coaction of the Thom spectrum makes  $E^0 X^V$  an  $E^0 X$ -module. By Thom isomorphism Zariski locally, it is a line bundle further.

## Proposition

Suppose that  $X$  is a finite complex and  $V$  is a virtual bundle over  $X$ . We shall write  $\mathbb{L}(V)$  for line bundle  $\widetilde{E^0 X^V}$ , and  $\mathbb{L}$  defines a functor from vector bundles over  $X$  to line bundles over  $X_E$ .

(i) If  $V$  and  $W$  are two virtual complex vector bundles over  $X$  then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathbb{L}(V \oplus W) \cong \mathbb{L}(V) \otimes \mathbb{L}(W)$$

and so  $\mathbb{L}(V - W) = \mathbb{L}(V) \otimes \mathbb{L}(W)^{-1}$ .

(ii) Moreover, if  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a map of spaces, then there is a natural isomorphism  $f^* \mathbb{L}(V) \cong \mathbb{L}(f^* V)$  of line bundles over  $Y_E$ .

If  $X$  is an (infinite) even complex and  $V$  is a virtual bundle classified by  $f : X \rightarrow BU\langle 0 \rangle$ , then  $\mathbb{L}(V)$  is a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $\mathrm{Spf} E^0 X$  by taking colimits. Moreover, the proposition above also applies for infinite even complex  $X$ .

## Proposition

Suppose that  $X$  is a finite complex and  $V$  is a virtual bundle over  $X$ . We shall write  $\mathbb{L}(V)$  for line bundle  $\widetilde{E^0 X^V}$ , and  $\mathbb{L}$  defines a functor from vector bundles over  $X$  to line bundles over  $X_E$ .

(i) If  $V$  and  $W$  are two virtual complex vector bundles over  $X$  then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathbb{L}(V \oplus W) \cong \mathbb{L}(V) \otimes \mathbb{L}(W)$$

and so  $\mathbb{L}(V - W) = \mathbb{L}(V) \otimes \mathbb{L}(W)^{-1}$ .

(ii) Moreover, if  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a map of spaces, then there is a natural isomorphism  $f^* \mathbb{L}(V) \cong \mathbb{L}(f^* V)$  of line bundles over  $Y_E$ .

If  $X$  is an (infinite) even complex and  $V$  is a virtual bundle classified by  $f : X \rightarrow BU\langle 0 \rangle$ , then  $\mathbb{L}(V)$  is a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $\mathrm{Spf} E^0 X$  by taking colimits. Moreover, the proposition above also applies for infinite even complex  $X$ .



## Lemma

Let  $T(\rho_0) = \Sigma^\infty Th(\mathcal{L})$  is the Thom spectrum associated with  $\rho_0 : P \rightarrow Z \times BU$  by the tautological bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then the Thom sheaf  $E^0 T(\rho_0)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{I}(0) = \ker(E^0 P \rightarrow E^0)$  in  $Qcoh(P_E)$ . This isomorphism is induced by a homotopy equivalence of  $P_+$ -comodule pointed spaces  $P \rightarrow Th(\mathcal{L})$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $L_i$  be the line bundle over the  $i$  factor of  $P^k$ . Recall that the map  $\rho_k : P^k \rightarrow BU\langle 2k \rangle$  pulls the tautological virtual bundle over  $BU\langle 2k \rangle$  back to the bundle

$$V = \bigotimes_i (1 - L_i)$$

Passing to Thom spectra gives a map

$$(P^k)^V \rightarrow MU\langle 2k \rangle$$

which determines an element  $s_k$  of  $E_0 MU\langle 2k \rangle \widehat{\otimes} E^0 ((P^k)^V)$ .

## Lemma

Let  $T(\rho_0) = \Sigma^\infty Th(\mathcal{L})$  is the Thom spectrum associated with  $\rho_0 : P \rightarrow Z \times BU$  by the tautological bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then the Thom sheaf  $E^0 T(\rho_0)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{I}(0) = \ker(E^0 P \rightarrow E^0)$  in  $Qcoh(P_E)$ . This isomorphism is induced by a homotopy equivalence of  $P_+$ -comodule pointed spaces  $P \rightarrow Th(\mathcal{L})$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $L_i$  be the line bundle over the  $i$  factor of  $P^k$ . Recall that the map  $\rho_k : P^k \rightarrow BU\langle 2k \rangle$  pulls the tautological virtual bundle over  $BU\langle 2k \rangle$  back to the bundle

$$V = \bigotimes_i (1 - L_i)$$

Passing to Thom spectra gives a map

$$(P^k)^V \rightarrow MU\langle 2k \rangle$$

which determines an element  $s_k$  of  $E_0 MU\langle 2k \rangle \widehat{\otimes} E^0 ((P^k)^V)$ .

Together with properties of  $\mathbb{L}$  give an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{L}(V) \cong \Theta^k(\mathcal{I}(0))$$

of line bundles over  $P_E^k$ . With this identification,  $s_k$  is a section of the pull-back of  $\Theta^k(\mathcal{I}(0))$  along the projection  $MU\langle 2k \rangle^E \rightarrow S_E$ .

## Proposition

*The section  $s_k$  is a  $\Theta^k$ -structure, and hence an element of  $\underline{C}^k(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0))(MU\langle 2k \rangle^E)$ .*

*Proof: This is analogous to the case of  $\rho_k$ .*

Let

$$MU\langle 2k \rangle^E \xrightarrow{g_k} \underline{C}^k(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0))$$

be the map classifying the  $\Theta^k$ -structure  $s_k$ . We note that the isomorphism  $BU\langle 2k \rangle^E \cong \underline{C}^k(P_E, \mathbb{G}_m)$  gives  $\underline{C}^k(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0))$  the structure of a torsor for the group scheme  $BU\langle 2k \rangle^E$  when  $k \leq 3$ . It is worth noting that an equivariant morphism between torsors automatically become an isomorphism. Actually, the  $g_k$  is the case.

Together with properties of  $\mathbb{L}$  give an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{L}(V) \cong \Theta^k(\mathcal{I}(0))$$

of line bundles over  $P_E^k$ . With this identification,  $s_k$  is a section of the pull-back of  $\Theta^k(\mathcal{I}(0))$  along the projection  $MU\langle 2k \rangle^E \rightarrow S_E$ .

## Proposition

*The section  $s_k$  is a  $\Theta^k$ -structure, and hence an element of  $\underline{C}^k(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0))(MU\langle 2k \rangle^E)$ .*

*Proof: This is analogous to the case of  $\rho_k$ .*

Let

$$MU\langle 2k \rangle^E \xrightarrow{g_k} \underline{C}^k(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0))$$

be the map classifying the  $\Theta^k$ -structure  $s_k$ . We note that the isomorphism  $BU\langle 2k \rangle^E \cong \underline{C}^k(P_E, \mathbb{G}_m)$  gives  $\underline{C}^k(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0))$  the structure of a torsor for the group scheme  $BU\langle 2k \rangle^E$  when  $k \leq 3$ . It is worth noting that an equivariant morphism between torsors automatically become an isomorphism. Actually, the  $g_k$  is the case.

## Proposition

The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 BU\langle 2k \rangle^E \times MU\langle 2k \rangle^E & \longrightarrow & \underline{C}^k(P_E; \mathbb{G}_{m,E}) \times \underline{C}^k(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 MU\langle 2k \rangle^E & \longrightarrow & \underline{C}^k(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0))
 \end{array}$$

which is concluded by the following naturality of coactions on Thom spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (P^k)^V & \longrightarrow & P_+^k \wedge (P^k)^V \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 MU\langle 2k \rangle & \longrightarrow & BU\langle 2k \rangle_+ \wedge MU\langle 2k \rangle
 \end{array}$$

## Theorem (Ando-Hopkins-Strickland)

The morphism  $MU\langle 2k \rangle^E \xrightarrow{g_k} \underline{C}^k(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0))$  is an isomorphism of  $BU\langle 2k \rangle^E$ -torsors when  $0 \leq k \leq 3$ .

Since  $MU\langle 2k \rangle$  is a bounded-below even spectrum when  $k \leq 3$ , we have natural isomorphisms

$[MU\langle 2k \rangle, E] = E^0(MU\langle 2k \rangle) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{E_*}(E_*MU\langle 2k \rangle, E_*) = \text{Hom}_{E_0}(E_0MU\langle 2k \rangle, E_0)$   
and

$$[MU\langle 2k \rangle, E]_{\text{ring}} = \text{Hom}_{E_0\text{-Al}}(E_0MU\langle 2k \rangle, E_0) = MU\langle 2k \rangle^E(S^E).$$

## Corollary (Orientations correspond $\Theta^k$ -structures)

When  $k \leq 3$ , the isomorphism  $g_k$  induces a bijection

$$[MU\langle 2k \rangle, E]_{\text{ring}} \rightarrow C^k(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0))(S^E).$$

## Theorem (Ando-Hopkins-Strickland)

The morphism  $MU\langle 2k \rangle^E \xrightarrow{g_k} \underline{C}^k(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0))$  is an isomorphism of  $BU\langle 2k \rangle^E$ -torsors when  $0 \leq k \leq 3$ .

Since  $MU\langle 2k \rangle$  is a bounded-below even spectrum when  $k \leq 3$ , we have natural isomorphisms

$[MU\langle 2k \rangle, E] = E^0(MU\langle 2k \rangle) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{E_*}(E_*MU\langle 2k \rangle, E_*) = \text{Hom}_{E_0}(E_0MU\langle 2k \rangle, E_0)$   
and

$$[MU\langle 2k \rangle, E]_{ring} = \text{Hom}_{E_0-Al}(E_0MU\langle 2k \rangle, E_0) = MU\langle 2k \rangle^E(S^E).$$

## Corollary (Orientations correspond $\Theta^k$ -structures)

When  $k \leq 3$ , the isomorphism  $g_k$  induces a bijection

$$[MU\langle 2k \rangle, E]_{ring} \rightarrow C^k(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0))(S^E).$$

## Theorem (Theorem of the cube)

Let  $X \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme over  $S$ . Then for any  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ , the  $\Theta^3(\mathcal{L}) \cong p^* \mathcal{M}$  for some  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}(S)$  where  $p$  denote the projection  $X_S \times X_S \times_S X \rightarrow S$ .

Furthermore,  $\mathcal{O}_S \cong e^* \Theta^3(\mathcal{L})$  is naturally rigidificated, so  $\mathcal{M} \cong e^* p^* \mathcal{M} \cong e^* \Theta^3(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{O}_S$  is trivial, and hence  $\Theta^3(\mathcal{L})$  is also trivial.

## Lemma

Let  $p : X \rightarrow S$  be a proper smooth morphism with geometrically connected fibers, then

- (i) The natural  $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow p_* \mathcal{O}_X$  is isomorphic;
- (ii) Let  $e : S \rightarrow X$  be a section, and let  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$  be trivializable line bundles on  $X$ , then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(e^* \mathcal{L}_1, e^* \mathcal{L}_2)$$

is bijective.



## Theorem (Unique cubical structure for abelian schemes)

Let  $p : X \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme over  $S$ . Then for any  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ , there exists exactly one  $\Theta^3$ -structure on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Proof: Since  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X^3}}(\mathcal{O}_{X^3}, \Theta^3(\mathcal{L})) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_S, e^*\Theta^3(\mathcal{L}))$  is bijective by lemma above. The natural rigidification  $\mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{1} e^*\Theta^3(\mathcal{L})$  determines unique trivialization  $u : \mathcal{O}_{X^3} \rightarrow \Theta^3(\mathcal{L})$ . Recall the axioms of cubical structures:

- (i)  $s(0) = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $s(a_{\sigma_1}, a_{\sigma_2}, a_{\sigma_3}) = s(a_1, a_2, a_3)$  is symmetric for any  $\sigma \in \Sigma_3$  ;
- (iii) the section

$$s(a_1, a_2, a_3) \otimes s(a_0 + a_1, a_2, a_3)^{-1} \otimes s(a_0, a_1 + a_2, a_3) \otimes s(a_0, a_1, a_3)^{-1} = 1.$$

However, all conditions automatically hold for  $u$  by  $u(0) = 1$  when we pullback to  $S$  along  $e$ , which means  $u$  is exactly the unique cubical structure.

## Theorem (Unique cubical structure for abelian schemes)

Let  $p : X \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme over  $S$ . Then for any  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ , there exists exactly one  $\Theta^3$ -structure on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Proof: Since  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X^3}}(\mathcal{O}_{X^3}, \Theta^3(\mathcal{L})) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_S, e^*\Theta^3(\mathcal{L}))$  is bijective by lemma above. The natural rigidification  $\mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{1} e^*\Theta^3(\mathcal{L})$  determines unique trivialization  $u : \mathcal{O}_{X^3} \rightarrow \Theta^3(\mathcal{L})$ . Recall the axioms of cubical structures:

- (i)  $s(0) = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $s(a_{\sigma_1}, a_{\sigma_2}, a_{\sigma_3}) = s(a_1, a_2, a_3)$  is symmetric for any  $\sigma \in \Sigma_3$  ;
- (iii) the section

$$s(a_1, a_2, a_3) \otimes s(a_0 + a_1, a_2, a_3)^{-1} \otimes s(a_0, a_1 + a_2, a_3) \otimes s(a_0, a_1, a_3)^{-1} = 1.$$

However, all conditions automatically hold for  $u$  by  $u(0) = 1$  when we pullback to  $S$  along  $e$ , which means  $u$  is exactly the unique cubical structure.

## Proposition

Let  $E \rightarrow F$  be a ring (phantom-)morphism between EWP ring (phantom-)spectra, and  $MU\langle 2k \rangle \rightarrow E$  and  $MU\langle 2k \rangle \rightarrow F$  be two orientations. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & MU\langle 2k \rangle & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F \end{array}$$

commutes if and only if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^F & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S^E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ MU\langle 2k \rangle^F & \xrightarrow{\quad} & MU\langle 2k \rangle^E \end{array}$$

commutes for the corresponding sections.

# $\sigma$ -orientations for elliptic cohomology theories

## Theorem

- (I) For any elliptic cohomology theories  $E$  we have natural  $\sigma$ -orientation  $MU\langle 6 \rangle \rightarrow E$ .  
 (II) The  $\sigma$ -orientations commute for any morphism of elliptic cohomology theories  $E \rightarrow F$  with morphism  $C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  of elliptic curves.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & MU\langle 2k \rangle & \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 E & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F
 \end{array}$$

commutes by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 MU\langle 6 \rangle^F & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{C}^3(P_F; \mathcal{I}(0)) & \longleftarrow & \underline{C}^3(C_1; \mathcal{I}(0)) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & S^F \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 MU\langle 6 \rangle^E & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{C}^3(P_E; \mathcal{I}(0)) & \longleftarrow & \underline{C}^3(C_2; \mathcal{I}(0)) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & S^E
 \end{array}$$

# A sketch of proof of A-H-S theorem

For  $k = 2$ ,  $BU\langle 2k \rangle = BSU$ . Consider the fiber sequence  $BSU \rightarrow BU \xrightarrow{\det} P$ , which induces the following diagram of affine group schemes over  $S^E$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P^E & \longrightarrow & BU^E & \longrightarrow & BSU^E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{Hom}_{Grp/E}(P_E, \mathbb{G}_{m,E}) & \xrightarrow{f \mapsto 1/f} & \underline{C}^1(P_E, \mathbb{G}_{m,E}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \underline{C}^2(P_E, \mathbb{G}_{m,E}) \end{array}$$

The simplest, also important, example is  $E = HP = \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^{2i} H\mathbb{Z}$ .